

# Unitary Self-Adjoint Logics of Projections

Marjan Matvejchuk<sup>1</sup>

Received July 4, 1997

---

Quantum logics of projections being self-adjoint with respect to a unitary operator on a Hilbert space are studied.

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In Matvejchuk (1995), a universal method for the construction of quantum logics of projections was given. Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space with the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $A$  be a linear or conjugate linear invertible bounded operator in  $H$ . Put  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle Ax, y \rangle$ ,  $\forall x, y \in H$ . It is clear that  $B \in B(H)$  is  $A$ -self-adjoint, i.e.,  $\langle Bx, y \rangle = \langle x, By \rangle$ ,  $\forall x, y \in H$  iff  $B = A^{-1}B^*A$ . Denote by  $P_A$  the set  $\{p \in B(H): p^2 = p, \langle px, y \rangle = \langle x, py \rangle, \forall x, y \in H\}$  of all  $A$ -self-adjoint projections (=idempotents). Let  $\Pi_A$  be the set of all orthogonal projections from  $P_A$ . With respect to the standard relations, the ordering  $p \leq g$  iff  $pg = gp = p$  and the orthocomplementation  $p \rightarrow p^\perp \equiv I - p$  the set  $P_A$  is a quantum logic.

For the case  $A > 0$ , the logic  $P_A$  is isomorphic to the lattice  $P_I$  of all orthogonal projections in  $B(H)$  (see Matvejchuk, 1989). In Matvejchuk (1995, n.d.), the hyperbolic logic  $P_J$  and the conjugation logic  $P_{\mathcal{T}}$  was studied, where  $J$  is a symmetry ( $J^* = J$ ,  $J^2 = I$ ) and  $\mathcal{T}$  is an operator conjugation.

In this paper, a logic  $P_U$ , where  $U$  is a unitary operator, is studied.

## 2. THE STRUCTURE OF THE PROJECTIONS IN $P_U$

*Proposition 1.* If  $p \in P_U$ , then  $p^* \in P_U$ ,  $pU = Up^*$ ,  $Up = p^*U$  and  $pU^2 = U^2p$ .

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Mathematics, Pedagogical University, 432700 Uljanovsk, Russia; e-mail: Marjan.Matvejchuk@ksu.ru.

*Proof.* Let  $p \in P_U$ . Then  $p = U^*p^*U$ . This means that  $p^* = UpU^*$  and  $p^* = (U^*p^*U)^* = U^*pU$ . Hence  $p^* \in P_U$  and  $Up = p^*U$ ,  $Up^* = pU$ . In addition,  $UpU^{-1} = p^* = U^{-1}pU$  implies  $U^2p = pU^2$ .

*Proposition 2.* Let  $p$  be a bounded projection. Denote by  $e$  the orthogonal projection onto  $pH \cap p^*H$ . Then  $e$  is the greatest orthogonal projection with the property  $e \leq p$ . If  $p \in P_U$ , then  $e \in \Pi_U$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $ep = (p^*e)^* = e^* = e = pe$ . Thus  $e \leq p$ . Assume that there exists an orthogonal projection  $r$  such that  $r \leq p$ . Then  $r \leq p^*$ . Therefore,  $rH \subseteq pH \cap p^*H$ . This means that  $r \leq e$ .

Now, assume  $p \in P_U$ . Let  $y \in pH \cap p^*H$ , and let  $x, x_0 \in H$  be such that  $y = px$  and  $y = p^*x_0$ . By Proposition 1,

$$pU^{-1}x_0 = U^{-1}p^*x_0 = U^{-1}y = U^{-1}px = U^{-2}p^*Ux = p^*U^{-1}x$$

and  $pUx_0 = Up^*x_0 = Uy = Upx = p^*Ux$ . Thus  $Uy, U^{-1}y \in pH \cap p^*H$ . This means that  $U^{-1}eU \geq e$  and  $UeU^{-1} \geq e$ . Hence  $U^{-1}eU = e \in \Pi_U$ .

*Definition 3.* The projection  $e$  from Proposition 2 is said to be the *orthogonal component* of  $p$  and is denoted by  $p_{or}$ . A projection  $p \in P_U$  is said to be a *properly skew projection* if  $p_{or} = 0$ .

It is clear that for any  $p \in P_U$ ,  $p \neq p^*$  the projection  $p - p_{or}$ , is a properly skew projection.

The following proposition was proved in Matvejchuk (1998).

*Proposition 4.* Let  $p$  be a bounded projection. Denote by  $(p + p^*)_+$  the positive part of the  $p + p^*$ . Let  $e_+$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $(p + p^*)_+H$ . Then  $e_+pe_+ = \frac{1}{2}(p + p^*)_+$  and  $e_+pe_+ \geq e_+$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}_U$  the von Neumann algebra  $\{a \in B(H): aU = Ua\}$ .

*Remark 5.* If  $p \in P_U$ , then  $e_+pe_+ \in \mathcal{A}_U$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p + p^* = \int \lambda de_\lambda$  be the spectral decomposition for  $p + p^*$ . We have  $U^{-1}(p + p^*)U = p^* + p$ . Hence  $p + p^* \in \mathcal{A}_U$ . By the uniqueness of the spectral decomposition,  $e_\lambda \in \mathcal{A}_U$ ,  $\forall \lambda$ . Hence,  $e_+ \in \mathcal{A}_U$ , too. Finally,  $e_+pe_+ = \frac{1}{2}e_+(p + p^*)e_+ \in \mathcal{A}_U$ .

Put  $e_- \equiv I - e_+$ . Denote by  $F_y$  the orthogonal projection onto  $yH$ ,  $\forall y \in B(H)$ .

In Matvejchuk (1995, 1998), there are hyperbolic and conjugation analogies of the following proposition with similar proofs.

*Proposition 6.* Let  $p \in P_U$ , and let  $e_-pe_+ = w|e_-pe_+|$  be the polar decomposition for  $e_-pe_+$ . Then  $x \equiv e_+pe_+ (\geq e_+) \in \mathcal{A}_U$ , and  $U^{-1}wU = -w$ , and the formula

$$p = x + w(x^2 - x)^{1/2} - (x^2 - x)^{1/2}w^* - w(x - F_x)w^* \quad (1)$$

holds.

Conversely, let  $x \in \mathcal{A}_U$  be such that  $x \geq F_x$ , and let there be an isometry  $w$ ,  $U^{-1}wU = -w$ , with the initial projection  $F_x$  and a final projection  $e$  such that  $e \perp F_x$ . Then (1) defines a projection from  $P_U$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p \in P$ . By Remark 6,  $x \in \mathcal{A}_U$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} e_+pe_- &= \frac{1}{2} e_+(p + p^*)e_- + \frac{1}{2} (e_+pe_- - e_+p^*e_-) \\ &= 0 + \frac{1}{2} (e_+pe_- - e_+p^*e_-) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$e_+pe_- = -e_+p^*e_- = -(e_-pe_+)^* \quad (2)$$

Similarly,

$$e_-pe_+ = -e_-p^*e_+ = -(e_+pe_-)^*$$

By (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |e_-pe_+| &= ((e_-pe_+)^*(e_-pe_+))^{1/2} = (-(e_+pe_-)e_-pe_+)^{1/2} \\ &= (e_+p(e_+ - I)pe_+)^{1/2} = ((e_+pe_+)(e_+pe_+) - e_+pe_+)^{1/2} \\ &= (x^2 - x)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $e - pe_+ = w(x^2 - x)^{1/2}$  and  $e_+pe_- = -(e_-pe_+)^* = -(x^2 - x)^{1/2}w^*$ . It is clear that  $x|e-be_+| = |e-pe_+|x$  and

$$\begin{aligned} U^{-1}wU|epe_+| &= U^{-1}w|e-pe_+|U = U^{-1}(e-pe_+)U = e-U^{-1}pUe_+ \\ &= e-p^*e_+ = -e-pe_+ = -w|e-pe_+| \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $U^{-1}wU = -w$ .

Now, we show the equality  $e-pe_- = -w(x - F_x)w^*$ . We have

$$e_+pe_- = (e_+pe_+)(e_+pe_-) + (e_+pe_-)(e-pe_-)$$

i.e.,  $(x^2 - x)^{1/2}w^* = x(x^2 - x)^{1/2}w^* + (x^2 - x)^{1/2}w^*(e-pe_-)$ . Hence

$$(x^2 - x)^{1/2}(F_x - x)w^* = (x^2 - x)^{1/2}w^*(e-be_-) \quad (3)$$

If  $z \in e-H \ominus \overline{e-pH}$ , then  $w^*z = 0$  and  $e-pe_-z = 0$ . This means that  $-w(x - F_x)w^*z = e-pe_-z$ . If  $z \in e-pH$ , then  $w^*z \in (x^2 - x)^{1/2}H$ . By (3),  $(F_x - x)w^*z = w^*(e-pe_-)z$ , i.e.,  $-w(x - F_x)w^*z = e-pe_-z$ ,  $\forall z \in H$ . The proof of (1) is completed.

Let  $x \in \mathcal{A}_U$  be such that  $x \geq F_x$ , and let  $w$ ,  $U^{-1}wU = -w$ , be an arbitrary isometry with the initial projection  $F_x$  and a final projection  $e$ , where

$e \perp F_x$ . Note that  $U^{-1}wU = -w$  implies  $U^{-1}w^*U = -w^*$ . Hence  $U^{-1}ww^*U = ww^*$  and  $U^{-1}w^*wU = w^*w$ . Thus  $e = w^*w \in \mathcal{A}U$ . Using the right-hand side of (1), define  $p$ . It can be easily verified that  $p^2 = p$  and  $U^{-1}p^*U = p$ . Hence  $p \in P_U$ . QED

To describe measures on a projection logic, it turns out to be useful to know its one-dimensional projections.

Now we give an illustration of the Proposition 6 for one-dimensional projections. Let  $H$  be a complex Hilbert space. Obviously, the operator  $(\cdot, f)g$  ( $\neq 0$ ) is a projection if and only if  $(f, g) = 1$ . We may assume that  $\|f\| = \|g\|$ .

Let  $(\cdot, f)g$  be an orthogonal projection. It is evident that  $(\cdot, f)g \in P_U$  if and only if vectors  $f, g$  are eigenvectors of  $U$ .

Now, let  $(\cdot, f)g$  be a properly skew projection and let  $(\cdot, f)g \in P_U$ . Then

$$(\cdot, f)g = U^{-1}((\cdot, f)g)^*U = U^{-1}(\cdot, g)fU = (\cdot, U^{-1}g)U^{-1}f$$

Hence  $f = \mu U^{-1}g$  and  $g = \beta U^{-1}f$ , where  $|\mu| = |\beta| = 1$ . Let  $e^{i2\alpha} = \mu\beta$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < \pi$ . Then  $f = \mu\beta U^{-2}f = e^{i2\alpha}U^{-2}f$  and  $g = e^{i2\alpha}U^{-2}g$ . The projection  $(\cdot, f)g$  is a properly skew projection. This means that the numbers  $e^{i\alpha}$  and  $e^{i(\alpha+\pi)}$  are both eigenvalues of  $U$ . Let  $H_\alpha$  and  $H_{\alpha+\pi}$  be eigensubspaces of  $U$  corresponding to  $e^{i\alpha}$  and  $-e^{i\alpha}$ , respectively. Denote by  $r_\alpha$  and  $r_{\alpha+\pi}$  the orthogonal projections onto  $H_\alpha$  and  $H_{\alpha+\pi}$ . Let  $U = \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i\lambda} d e_\lambda$  be the spectral decomposition for  $U$ . We have

$$1 = (f, g) = \mu \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\lambda} d(e_\lambda g, g) = \mu e^{-i\alpha} ((r_\alpha g, g) - (r_{\alpha+\pi} g, g))$$

Hence  $\mu = \pm e^{i\alpha}$  ( $=\beta$ ). Similarly,  $1 = \pm[(r_\alpha f, f) - (r_{\alpha+\pi} f, f)]$ . Denote by  $S$  the unit sphere in  $H$ . Let  $\phi \in S \cap r_\alpha H$  and  $\phi^\perp \in S \cap r_{\alpha+\pi} H$  be such that  $g = a\phi + b\phi^\perp$ ,  $a, b \in R$ . Then  $f = \pm[a\phi - b\phi^\perp]$  and  $\pm[a^2 - b^2] = 1$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\cdot, f)g &= \pm(\cdot, a\phi - b\phi^\perp)(a\phi + b\phi^\perp) \\ &\pm [a^2(\cdot, \phi)\phi + ab(\cdot, \phi)\phi^\perp - ab(\cdot, \phi^\perp)\phi - b^2(\cdot, \phi^\perp)\phi^\perp] \end{aligned}$$

where  $\pm(a^2 - b^2) = 1$ . For instance, if  $a^2 - b^2 = 1$ , then  $x = e_+( \cdot, f)g e_+ = a^2(\cdot, \phi)\phi$  and  $w = (\cdot, \phi)\phi^\perp$  (see Proposition 6).

Conversely, let  $e^{i\alpha}$  ( $0 \leq \alpha < \pi$ ) and  $e^{i(\alpha+\pi)}$  be eigenvalues of  $U$  both. Let vectors  $\phi, \phi^\perp \in S$  be eigenvectors of  $U$  with respect to  $e^{i\alpha}, -e^{i\alpha}$ , respectively. Then  $(\cdot, f)g \in P_U$ , for  $f = a\phi - b\phi^\perp$  and  $g = a\phi + b\phi^\perp$ , where  $a, b \in R$  and  $a^2 - b^2 = 1$ .

Hence we have proved:

*Proposition 7.* (1) The logic  $P_U$  contains one-dimensional projections if and only if  $U$  has eigenvalues.

(2) The logic  $P_U$  contain one-dimensional properly skew projections if and only if there exists  $\alpha \in [0, \pi)$  such that  $e^{i\alpha}$  and  $-e^{i\alpha}$  are both eigenvalues of  $U$ .

Note that the set of all self-adjoint, with respect to the unitary operator  $e^{i\alpha}(r_\alpha - r_{\alpha+\pi}) [= U/(H_\alpha \oplus H_{\alpha+\pi})]$ , projections on the Hilbert space  $H_\alpha \oplus H_{\alpha+\pi}$  was called a hyperbolic logic. Measures on these logics were completely described.

*Corollary 8.* Let  $\sigma(U)$  be the spectrum of  $U$ . If  $\alpha \notin \sigma(U)$  or  $\alpha + \pi \notin \sigma(U)$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in [0, \pi)$  then  $P_U = \Pi_U$ .

*Proof.* By the assumption on  $\sigma(U)$ , we have  $\mathcal{A}_U = \{a \in B(H): aU^2 = U^2a\}$ . Hence, by Proposition 1,  $p^*U = Up = pU$ ,  $\forall p \in P_U$ . This means that  $p^* = p$ ,  $\forall p \in P_U$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research described in this paper was made possible in part by the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research, grant 96-01-01265.

## REFERENCES

- Matvejchuk, M. S. (1989). Measure Structure on Logic of Skew Projections. I, *Izvestija Vysshikh Uchebnukh Zavedenii. Mathematica*, **8**, 39–44 [English translation, *Russian Mathematics (Izvestiya VUZ)*, **33**(8)]
- Matvejchuk, M. S. (1995). Vitaly–Hahn–Saks theorem for hyperbolic logics, *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, **34**, 1567–1574.
- Matvejchuk, M. S. (1998). Probability measures in  $WJ$ -algebras in Hilbert space with conjugation, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, **126**, 1155–1164.